

# SUBSCRIBE NOW AND RECEIVE *CRISIS AND LEVIATHAN*\* FREE!



"*The Independent Review* does not accept pronouncements of government officials nor the conventional wisdom at face value."

—**JOHN R. MACARTHUR**, Publisher, *Harper's*

"*The Independent Review* is excellent."

—**GARY BECKER**, Noble Laureate in Economic Sciences

Subscribe to [\*The Independent Review\*](#) and receive a free book of your choice\* such as the 25th Anniversary Edition of *Crisis and Leviathan: Critical Episodes in the Growth of American Government*, by Founding Editor Robert Higgs. This quarterly journal, guided by co-editors Christopher J. Coyne, and Michael C. Munger, and Robert M. Whaples offers leading-edge insights on today's most critical issues in economics, healthcare, education, law, history, political science, philosophy, and sociology.

Thought-provoking and educational, [\*The Independent Review\*](#) is blazing the way toward informed debate!

Student? Educator? Journalist? Business or civic leader? Engaged citizen? This journal is for YOU!



\*Order today for more **FREE** book options

**SUBSCRIBE**

Perfect for students or anyone on the go! *The Independent Review* is available on mobile devices or tablets: iOS devices, Amazon Kindle Fire, or Android through Magzter.



---

*Etceteras . . .*

## How Big Is Government in the United States?

ROBERT HIGGS

How big is government in the United States? The answer depends on the concept used to measure its size. Although many such concepts are available, and several are used from time to time, by far the most common measure, especially in studies by economists, is total government spending (G) as a percentage of the gross domestic product (GDP). Using official data available at the online repository maintained by the Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis (n.d.a) and official data available online for the U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis (n.d.) National Income and Product Accounts, I have calculated that for the five-year period from 2010 to 2014, this measure of the size of government—including all levels of government, not only the federal government, and all types of spending, not only purchases of currently produced goods and services—was 35.8 percent.

On reflection, however, one might well wonder why G has been “normalized” so often by measuring it relative to GDP. One reason this practice is questionable is that GDP includes a large part—equal in recent years to about 10 percent of the total—known as the capital-consumption allowance. This is an estimate of the amount of spending that was required during the reference year simply to maintain the value of the nation’s capital stock as it depreciated because of wear and tear and obsolescence. Given that GDP is defined to include only “final” goods and services, it is questionable that expenditures made solely to maintain the capital stock should be included at all rather than excluded as “intermediate goods,” as a large volume of the economy’s total output is already excluded (e.g., steel sold to the manufacturers of machinery, wheat sold to flour mills).

One way around this difficulty is to measure G not relative to GDP but relative to net national product, which, except for a statistical discrepancy, is the same as the

---

**Robert Higgs** is founding editor and editor at large for *The Independent Review* and senior fellow in political economy at the Independent Institute.

*The Independent Review*, v. 20, n. 2, Fall 2015, ISSN 1086-1653, Copyright © 2015, pp. 317-319.

accounting concept known as national income (NI). Using NI as the denominator, for the same period 2010–14, we find that the size of government in the United States was 41.4 percent. This figure, however, may still give a misleading impression of the relative size of government because NI includes elements that are more or less remote from individual households' economic affairs.

After some adjustments to NI, including several deductions (e.g., for contributions to government social insurance) and several additions (e.g., for personal-income receipts on assets), we arrive at the accounting concept designated personal income (PI), which, because the foregoing deductions and additions have been almost offsetting, has been approximately the same magnitude as NI in recent years. From the total PI, individuals pay taxes, spend a portion (denominated personal consumption, C), and save the rest. PI is the income concept that accords most closely with ordinary people's notion of their income.

Personal-consumption outlays, which currently amount to about 95 percent of disposable (that is, after-tax) personal income (Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis n.d.b), are an arguably superior denominator for the measurement of the relative size of government. If we use it as such, we find, for the same period 2010–14, a figure of 50.7 percent. Thus, by a more meaningful measure, total government spending is equivalent not to a little more than one-third of the economy ( $G/GDP$ ) or to a little more than four-tenths of it ( $G/NI$ ) but rather to a little more than one-half of the part of the economy that affords immediate satisfaction to consumers ( $G/C$ ).

Even this measure fails to indicate how great the government's presence in our lives really is, however, because governments at every level impose a vast number of legal and regulatory requirements whose compliance costs must be met out of the people's private resources. Such outlays are not included in any government's budget even though they are made only because governments require that private parties comply with costly edicts. Where private businesses meet the costs of compliance with such government requirements, the effect is to increase the businesses' operating costs and hence to cause the prices of their products to be greater than they otherwise would be. The resulting diminution of consumers' purchasing power constitutes a hidden tax, and there is good reason to suppose that the amount of this tax—whose complications preclude its precise estimation—is tremendous.

According to Clyde Wayne Crews Jr., who makes an annual estimate of the cost of compliance with federal regulations alone, "Costs for Americans to comply with federal regulations reached \$1.863 trillion in 2013" (2014)—which is equivalent to more than 13 percent of national income. Compliance with state and local government regulations surely adds a large amount to Crews's estimate for compliance with federal regulations alone. No one needs to tell Americans, however, how onerous and exasperating the entire burden of government regulations and related red tape has become. Virtually every part of economic and social life now bears these heavy burdens, and any truly meaningful appraisal of the size of government today must take them into consideration along with the amounts the various governments are spending.

An additional problem, distinct from those already discussed here, is that any normalization of government spending that uses GDP or NI as the denominator of the ratio makes the ratio smaller because a large part (about 55 percent in the years 2010–14) of total government spending—namely, spending for currently produced final goods and services—is included in both the denominator and the numerator of the ratio. Thus, for the past five years, if we computed total government spending relative to the private part of GDP rather than to the entire GDP, we would find the size of government to be 44.6 percent rather than 35.8 percent; and if we computed total government spending relative to the private part of NI rather than to the entire NI, we would find the size of government to be 53.7 percent rather than 41.4 percent.

Of course, the root problem here is that government spending is defined as a component of GDP and related measures in the first place. It never should have been included—and it might never have been included had the government not established an enormous, government-dominated command economy during World War II (Higgs 1998, 150–53). Government spending either is completely wasteful or merely transfers income or purchases an intermediate rather than a final good or purchases valuable final services whose value cannot be ascertained because the transaction is not made by private parties voluntarily exchanging their own resources in a market setting. The supposition, à la Keynesian macroeconomic theory, that government spending is a perfect substitute for private spending is a scientifically baseless idea and has been the source of great mischief during the past eighty years.

## References

- Crews, Clyde Wayne, Jr. 2014. *Ten Thousand Commandments 2014: An Annual Snapshot of the Federal Regulatory State*. Washington, D.C.: Competitive Enterprise Institute, April 29. At <https://cei.org/studies/ten-thousand-commandments-2014>.
- Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis. n.d.a. National Income & Product Accounts. Economic Research, FRED Economic Data. At <http://research.stlouisfed.org/fred2/categories/18>.
- . n.d.b. Personal Saving as a Percentage of Disposable Personal Income. Economic Research, FRED Economic Data. At <http://research.stlouisfed.org/fred2/series/A072RC1Q156SBEA>.
- Higgs, Robert. 1998. Official Economic Statistics: The Emperor's Clothes Are Dirty. *The Independent Review* 3, no. 1 (Summer): 147–53.
- U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis. n.d. Table 1.7.5: Relation of Gross Domestic Product, Gross National Product, Net National Product, National Income, and Personal Income. National Data, National Income and Product Accounts Tables. At <http://www.bea.gov/iTable/iTable.cfm?ReqID=9&step=1#reqid=9&step=3&isuri=1&903=43>.