

SUBSCRIBE NOW AND RECEIVE *CRISIS AND LEVIATHAN** FREE!



"*The Independent Review* does not accept pronouncements of government officials nor the conventional wisdom at face value."

—**JOHN R. MACARTHUR**, Publisher, *Harper's*

"*The Independent Review* is excellent."

—**GARY BECKER**, Noble Laureate in Economic Sciences

Subscribe to [*The Independent Review*](#) and receive a free book of your choice* such as the 25th Anniversary Edition of *Crisis and Leviathan: Critical Episodes in the Growth of American Government*, by Founding Editor Robert Higgs. This quarterly journal, guided by co-editors Christopher J. Coyne, and Michael C. Munger, and Robert M. Whaples offers leading-edge insights on today's most critical issues in economics, healthcare, education, law, history, political science, philosophy, and sociology.

Thought-provoking and educational, [*The Independent Review*](#) is blazing the way toward informed debate!

Student? Educator? Journalist? Business or civic leader? Engaged citizen? This journal is for YOU!



*Order today for more **FREE** book options

SUBSCRIBE

Perfect for students or anyone on the go! *The Independent Review* is available on mobile devices or tablets: iOS devices, Amazon Kindle Fire, or Android through Magzter.



Etceteras . . .

Fifty Years of the Mont Pèlerin Society

JUST AFTER WORLD WAR II, classical liberalism reached its lowest ebb. Europe lay in ruins, one half locked under Soviet domination, the other half drowning in dirigisme. In Britain, a Labor government wielded power, nationalizing basic industries and creating a full-fledged welfare state. In France and Italy, communists and their political allies threatened to take power. In Scandinavia and the Low Countries, welfare states blossomed while free markets withered. Spain and Portugal endured fascist dictatorships. Germany languished under Allied occupation, with controls choking the revival of its economy and the population struggling to avoid starvation. In the United States, most people had lost their old faith in the free market and gained a new faith in the ability of government to solve economic problems and guarantee social security. Everywhere in the West both masses and elites, especially the intellectuals, plunged waywardly down what Friedrich A. Hayek had just dubbed “the road to serfdom.”

Peering into the abyss ahead, Hayek determined to form a society committed to persuading the intellectuals, and hence the masses and their political leaders, to change course. This society would bring together for mutual enlightenment and encouragement the leading figures of classical liberalism. Included would be Englishmen such as Lionel Robbins, John Jewkes, and Michael Polanyi; Austrian émigrés such as Ludwig von Mises, Fritz Machlup, Karl Popper, and of course Hayek himself; Americans such as Henry Hazlitt, Frank Knight, Milton Friedman, Aaron Director, and George Stigler; Germans such as Wilhelm Röpke and Walter Eucken; Frenchmen such as Maurice Allais and Bertrand de Jouvenel; and other western Europeans.

In April 1947 the men named above and others—thirty-nine persons in all, from seventeen countries—met in Switzerland and formed the Mont Pèlerin Society. They adopted a Statement of Aims that briefly described their view of the prevailing crisis:

Over large stretches of the earth's surface the essential conditions of human dignity and freedom have already disappeared. In others they are under constant menace from the development of current tendencies of policy. The position of the individual and the voluntary group are progressively undermined by extensions of arbitrary power.

The statement avowed that "what is essentially an ideological movement must be met by intellectual argument and the reassertion of valid ideas" and identified six broad areas in which further study and debate would be worthwhile in combating the prevailing intellectual tendencies. The statement concluded:

The group does not aspire to conduct propaganda. It seeks to establish no meticulous and hampering orthodoxy. It aligns itself with no particular party. Its object is solely, by facilitating the exchange of views among minds inspired by certain ideals and broad conceptions held in common, to contribute to the preservation and improvement of the free society.

Fifty years later it appears that, despite individual disagreements and rivalries, personality clashes, and administrative difficulties, the society has prospered and remained steadfast in adherence to its initial statement of aims. It has served essentially as an international club at whose meetings leading classical liberals can exchange and debate ideas in the comfort of a solidary environment.

Since its founding meeting in 1947, the society has held thirty general meetings and more than twenty regional meetings, most in Europe but some in the United States and others as far away as Hong Kong, Tokyo, Caracas, Sidney, and Rio de Janeiro. From the 37 original participants, membership has grown to more than 500, predominantly Europeans and Americans but now including many Asians and Latin Americans as well. To join, new members must be elected by the society, and membership has become a badge of honor among classical liberals.

From 1948 to 1960, Hayek served as president. Subsequent presidents served shorter terms; by the late 1960s a two-year term had become the rule. Presidents have included such luminaries as Röpke, Jewkes, Friedman, Stigler, James Buchanan, Gary Becker, Max Hartwell, and Pascal Salin. Most members have been academics, overwhelmingly economists, including seven recipients of the Nobel Prize in Economic Science, but journalists, businesspeople, lawyers, government officials, and others also have belonged. Prominent men of affairs who have belonged include Luigi Einaudi, president of Italy, Ludwig Erhard, chancellor of West Germany, and Vaclav Klaus, prime minister of the Czech Republic.

Did the Mont Pèlerin Society succeed in turning the tide of statism in the West? Certainly the intellectual standing of classical liberal ideas has risen, especially during the past twenty years. Socialism, Hayek's *bête noire*, has been more or less discredited, at least in its more blatant forms, except in the sheltered enclaves of academia. Western policymakers now talk openly about privatization and deregulation and occasionally take corresponding action. In classical liberalism's darkest hour, the Mont Pèlerin Society sought, in Max Hartwell's apt military metaphor, to "save the flag" and "renew the attack." Its influence was probably most significant during the dark age between its founding and the mid-1970s, when classical liberal ideas came close to being suffocated by the dominance of collectivism among Western intellectuals. Hartwell, a longtime member of the society, its president from 1992 to 1994, and more recently its historian, concludes that "the Society was important in changing the political agenda, first, by sustaining liberal ideas when they were ignored and unpopular, and second, by circulating them widely and increasing their influence." Whether the members of the society would have been equally effective in the absence of the society is impossible to say, but no doubt the society helped them to allay despair and to retain their fighting spirit when the odds seemed heavily against their cause.

The information given here, as well as many details of the society's founding, activities, and membership, may be found in R. M. Hartwell's *History of the Mont Pelerin Society* (Indianapolis: Liberty Fund, 1995), a judicious and nicely written 250-page account. (Passages quoted earlier appear on pp. 41-42, 203, and 215-16.) Readers who do not care to know all about the nuts and bolts of the society's administration (part 2) will find that parts 1 and 3 contain excellent analyses of the economic and political developments that prompted the society's formation and of the interplay between the society and the course of events during the past half century. Sixteen pages of photographs and an excellent index complement the volume.

ROBERT HIGGS

Thank You . . .

The editor acknowledges with heartfelt gratitude the assistance of the following individuals, who have refereed one or more papers submitted to The Independent Review during its first year of operation. They have contributed greatly to the success of the venture.

Lee J. Alston
Annelise Anderson
N. Scott Arnold
Herman Belz
Daniel K. Benjamin
Peter J. Boettke
Donald J. Boudreaux
Thomas F. Cargill
Stanley L. Engerman
Jean Ensminger
Williamson M. Evers
Roger Faith
Fred E. Foldvary
Roger W. Garrison
David Gordon
Ronald Hamowy
Eugene Heath
Peter J. Hill
Randall G. Holcombe
Hans-Hermann Hoppe
Jerome Huyler
Daniel B. Klein
Richard Langlois
Dwight R. Lee

Pierre Lemieux
Gary D. Libecap
Loren E. Lomasky
Anton D. Lowenberg
Yuri N. Maltsev
William C. Mitchell
Michael A. Morrissey
Tom G. Palmer
Thomas G. Rawski
Nicholas Rescher
Charles K. Rowley
Andrew Rutten
Joseph T. Salerno
George A. Selgin
William F. Shughart II
Gene Smiley
Mark Thornton
Charlotte Twilight
Karen I. Vaughn
Richard K. Vedder
Lawrence H. White
Ulrich Witt
Bruce Yandle